



**Standardized Procedure for the Inspection  
of Sprayers in Europe  
5th SPISE workshop**

***15-17 October Montpellier (F)***

# Survey results

*J. Kole, P. Harašta*

TWG 4 prepare for 5thSPISE Workshop results of the enquiry done, as a base for the scheme of the Quality Assurance System, suggested by SPISE with a specific check-list how to apply it.

## **TWG 4 Quality assurance QAS**

**In the Directive 2009/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides in article 8 is stated that “Each MS shall establish certificate system designed to allow the verification of sprayers inspections....”**

SPISE WG has spent many years trying to create a system for the mutual recognition of inspection of sprayers. This issue is discussed at workshops and further SPISE TWGs meetings.

## Survey results

**In line with WG SPISE discussions was prepared a survey for the MS concerning the Quality Assurance System for the inspection of sprayers in use and sent to whole contacts in european countries.** To the time of preparation of this presentation was returned questionnaires completed from 16 countries.

Enquiry was sent to MS two times during this year

- first deadline - the May 2014
- second deadline - the September 2014

## Testing equipment

**Are the requirements for the testing equipment based on**

*EN13790/ISO16122* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,  
PL,N,F

*Different* CZ,UK

*Additional* SE,NL,DK,SUI,SK

**Is certification of testing equipment needed (JKI/ENTAM/Other)**

*Yes* B,UK,P,PL,N

*No* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,CZ,SUI,SK,F

**Is the testing equipment checked and calibrated periodically?**

*No* SRB,IT,P,PL,

*Yes* SE,ES,D,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,N,F

*by who/what organisation*

*frequency*

*how are this inspectors trained?*

## Testing location

**Are the requirements for the testing location based on**

*EN13790/ISO16122* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,PL,F

*Different* B,P,N

*Additional* SE,DK,UK,SK,N,F

**Is the testing location checked periodically:**

*No* SRB,IT,ES,D,PL

*Yes* NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,F

*by who/what organisation: P-is planned, N-only mobile frequency*

## Inspection workshop staff

**Are there requirements for the professional skills of the workshop staff:**

No SUI

Yes SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SK,P,PL,N,F

**Are there requirements for a regular training of the workshop staff:**

No CZ,PL

Yes SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,B,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,N,F

**Are there requirements for a periodical refreshing training of the workshop staff:**

No SE,SRB,CZ,SK,PL

Yes IT,ES,D,NL,B,DK,UK,SUI,N,F

*what interval P-n.d. (yet)*

*please specify details of the training*

# Testing protocol

**Is the testing protocol based on**

*EN13790* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,PL

*ISO16122* NL,B,UK,F

*Different* CZ,SK,N

*Additional* NL,B,DK,UK,N



# Sticker

**Is a sticker used on approved sprayers?**

*Yes* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,PL,N,F

*No*

**With unique number?**

*Yes* SE,SRB,ES,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SK,P,PL,F

*No* IT,D,SUI,N

# Test report

**Is the testing protocol based on:**

*EN13790* SE,SRB,IT,ES,D,DK,UK,SK,P,PL

*ISO16122* NL,B,UK,F

*Different* CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,PL,N

**Is the number of the sticker mentioned on the test report**

*Yes* SE,SRB,IT,ES,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SK,P,PL,F

*No* D,SUI

*Comments: N-no number on sticker*

## Performed test

**Is there a control of the quality of already inspected  
sprayers:**

No SE,SRB,ES,D,P,

Yes IT,NL ,B,CZ ,DK,UK,SK,PL,F

*by who: **N-not ta the moment***

*frequency*

*how is planned*

*how are inspectors trained*

# Registration

**Is there a national/regional database with official workshops**

No SE,SRB

Yes IT ,ES ,D,NL,B,CZ,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,PL,N,F  
*owned/maintained by*

**Is there a national/regional database with all certified test operators**

No SRB,D,CZ

Yes SE,IT,ES,NL,B,DK,UK,SUI,SK,P,PL,N,F  
*owned/maintained by*

**Is there a national/regional database with all performed inspection of sprayers**

No SE,SRB,IT,D,SUI

Yes ES ,NL ,B ,CZ,DK,UK,SK,P,PL,N,F  
*owned/maintained by*

# Conclusions

**Not easy to make any final conclusions now although it is discussed a long time**

**- big differences between the submitted answers are still obvious.**

**Quality assurance is an important matter and needs seriously to be harmonized.**

**Guidelines for certifying workshops are needed.**