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1. Member States (MS) are intensively engaged to implement a mandatory inspection.

2. Boom sprayers and air-assisted sprayers are in the central focus of inspection.

3. How the exemptions (different timetables and inspection intervals) according to article 8.3 will be applied by the MS, is currently inexplicit.
SESSION 1
Inspections at regular intervals - Inspection of new equipment

Chairmen: H. Ganzelmeier, E. Gil

4. The mutual recognition of the inspection between MS is to date relevant and it will be encouraged by EU Directive 128/2009.

5. As a replacement for the missing harmonized standards, the EN 13790 is fully recommended for temporary use.

6. Quality measurements (audits…) have to be developed.

7. No clear position on how to deal with minor defects and new PAE: a special coordination is needed.
SESSION 2

Member States may apply different timetables and inspection intervals with exceptions following a risk assessment and exempt handheld pesticide application equipment or knapsack sprayers

Chairmen: B. Huyghebaert, N. Bjugstad

1. Which is the appropriate sprayer type risk assessment to be able to apply different timetables and inspection intervals according to article 8.3 is still not clear.

2. Most of the Member States have not yet defined for which types of sprayer they will require the exemptions.

3. A common sprayer risk assessment methodology is recommended.
SESSION 3
The inspections shall verify that pesticide application equipment satisfies the relevant requirements
Chairmen: J.-P. Douzals, V. Polvêche

1. ISO/CEN 16122-1-2-3-4 seems able to follow the main requirements of the Directive (Annex II).

2. Necessity to develop in short time other ISO standard parts.

3. Necessity to improve the present ISO methodology to inspect the sprayer to obtain a higher reproducibility of results.

4. How to inspect without a harmonized standard (EN 13790 when possible)?
CLOSING SESSION
1 – Summary of conclusions of sessions 1-5

SESSION 4
Member States shall establish certificate systems for mutual recognition of the certificates
Chairmen: J. Kole, P. Harašta

1. Identification of PAE (test report and sticker!).
2. Uniform sticker layout, content, color code, etc.
3. Harmonized test report.
4. Harmonized requirements for workshops / inspection teams.
5. Need for quality assurance system – SPISE Working Group (SWG) label?
SESSION 5
Training

The **importance of an adequate inspectors training** has been deeply underlined and considered a priority to have successful inspections. Nevertheless due to resource limitations and how far process is developed in MS:

1. At present it seems difficult to develop a common course plan.

2. At present it seems difficult to develop common training materials.

3. Good idea to collect training material and course plan for exchange between countries.
Several requests for the implementation of a Technical Working Group (TWG) for developing common proposals came out from the sessions discussion.

TWG has to be activated in order to:

1. Develop a common proposal concerning how to deal with minor defects.
2. Develop a common proposal concerning how to deal with BRAND NEW SPRAYERS.
3. Define a common risk assessment procedure for PAE to be exempted from the inspections.
4. Define a common way on how to “certificate” the workshop activity (quality assurance), including the test facilities.
CLOSING SESSION

2 – Recommendations of the workshop and closing

Several requests for the implementation of a Technical Working Group (TWG) for developing common proposals came out from the sessions discussion

TWG has to be activated in order to:

5. Define which are the minimum workshop facilities necessary to make an **appropriate sprayer adjustment** in the case of orchard sprayers with the knowledge of all orchard parameters necessary, to be able to make orchard sprayers adjustment at the workshop during the inspection.

6. Collect from MS available training material and make it downloadable on SWG website.
Several requests for the implementation of a Technical Working Group (TWG) for developing common proposals came out from the sessions discussion. TWG has to be activated in order to:

7. Create a **SPISE database** with all MS authorised inspectors and workshops?

- Legal aspects
- Not create too much bureaucracy
- Useful for new sprayers
- Collect sticker types of different EU countries??
- Database only related to country reference persons?
Some Communications

• In about 1 month each Participant will receive a CD with a pdf of all the PP presentations made in SPISE 4

• A collection of pictures of stickers used in MS

• A list of Responsible body (person) for sprayers inspection in MS

• DO NOT FORGET

• End of April deadline for sending full papers to JKI
SPISE 5
2014??
WHERE??

YOU ALL WILL BE INFORMED IN DUE TIME BUT DO NOT FORGET TO TAKE PART OF IT AND REMAIN ACTIVE IN SPISE COMMUNITY
BEFORE CLOSING SPISE 4
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